\sim	27
. ¬	. 7.7

ile With	
50.001110.001110.00110.00110.00110.00110.00110.00110.00110.00110.00110.00110.00110.00110.00110.00110.00110.001	٦
	1

SECTION 131 FORM

ABP- 314485-2	2		Defer Re O/H
Having considered the cont	ents of the submis	recommend to	hat section 131 of the Plan
and Development Act, 2000	be/not be invoked www.material	-	for the following reason(s)
Section 131 not to be invoke	ed at this stage.		$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$
Section 131 to be invoked -	allow 2/4 weeks	for reply.	
Signed 0		Date	
Pat B		2	0/12/2023
EO Signed		Dete	
Jigiteu		Date	
SEO/SAO			
M			
IVI			
Places propore PD C	Section 131 notice	enclosing a c	opy of the attached submis
— S			
To	Task No		Allow 2/3/4 weeks
	Task No		Allow 2/3/4 weeks
	Task No	Date	
Го	Task No	Date	
Го	Task No	Date	



Planning Appeal Online Observation

Online Reference NPA-OBS-002918

Online Observation Details				
Contact Name Aaron Glynn	Lodgement Date 13/12/2023 16:36:2	Case Number / Description 28 314485		
Payment Details	(3)			
Payment Method Online Payment	Cardholder Name Aaron Glynn	Payment Amount €50.00		
Processing Section				
S.131 Consideration Required Yes — See attached 1: Signed EO	_	N/A — Invalid Date 10/12/2023		
Fee Refund Requisition Please Arrange a Refund of Fee of €		Lodgement No LDG— 06 8723-23.		
Reason for Refund				
Documents Returned to Observer Yes No Signed		equest Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approval Yes No		
Fig. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.				
Finance Section Payment Reference		hecked Against Fee Income Online		
ch_30MvQ7B1CW0EN5FC1Pb		O/AA (Accounts Section)		
Amount	R	efund Date		
€				
Authorised By (1)	A	uthorised By (2)		
SEO (Finance)		hief Officer/Director of Corporate Affairs/SAO/Board lember		
Date	D	ate		

RE: https://www.pleanala.ie/en-ie/case/314485

To Whom it may concern,

I am one of over 30,000 people who are now living under what I understand to be illegal flightpath since the opening of the North Runway at Dublin Airport. The 2007 planning condition documentation includes flightpath assumptions which many people have built their lives around. The flightpaths in the 2007 planning permission are much different to the ones in use today and since it opened.

Our enjoyment of our home and garden has been severely impacted since the opening of the North Runway. Everyone expected something different in terms of flightpaths based on the 2007 planning permission and what has happened is completely different. The current operation is causing huge distress and disturbance for tens of thousands of people not to mention the negative health effects and illnesses which can be attributed to excessive aircraft noise.

The prospect of granting further changes to increase the day hours and night flights seems ludicrous when there is a major noise issue already in place. My major areas of observation and concerns are: So-called 'permitted" Noise zones in this submission do not match the Environmental Impact Statement for the only granted permission.

Acceptance of the relevant action by ABP and thus retention of the flightpaths would set a precedent that ABP conditions should be ignored if inconvenient.

The DAA are breaching their current planning permission and flightpaths as per below:

- I believe that the DAA breached the passenger cap in 2019 and will most likely do so again this year.
- I understand that the DAA are consistently breaching the 65 movement cap per night.
- I am concerned that the DAA are not using the flightpaths they used in their 2007 planning permission.

An oral hearing is absolutely necessary given the gravity of the situation.

Yours sincerely

Aaron Glynn Apt 6, 11 the meadow Robswall Malahide Co Dublin K36 WF82